## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

CRIMINAL NO. 07-121 (ADC)

ALEXANDER RODRIGUEZ-MELENDEZ [19]

Defendant.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Alexander Rodríguez-Meléndez was charged in a two (2) counts Indictment and he agreed to plead guilty to Count One. Count One charges that, beginning on a date unknown, but no later than in or about 2003, and continuing up to and until the return of the instant Indictment, in the District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this Court, the defendants herein, did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other and with diverse other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit an offense against the United States, to wit, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute, multi-kilogram quantities of controlled substances, that is, in excess of one (1) kilogram of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Controlled Substance; in excess of five (5) kilograms of cocaine, a Schedule II, Controlled Substance; in excess of one hundred (100) kilograms of marihuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance, as prohibited by Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 860. All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)

Report and Recommendation

Page 2

Defendant appeared before this Magistrate Judge on April 2, 2008, since the Rule 11

hearing was referred by the Court. Defendant was provided with a Waiver of Right to Trial by

Jury, which he signed and agreed upon voluntarily after examination in open court, under oath.

Defendant indicated and confirmed his intention to plead guilty to Count One of the

Indictment, upon being advised of his right to have said proceedings before a district judge of

this court. 1 Upon verifying through defendant's statement his age, education and any relevant

aspect as to the use of medication, drugs, alcohol or substance dependency, and psychological

or psychiatric condition, to ascertain his capacity and ability to understand, answer and

comprehend the interactive colloquy with this Magistrate Judge, a determination was made as

to defendant's competency and ability to understand the proceedings.

Having further advised defendant of the charges contained in above-stated Count One,

he was examined and verified as being correct that: he had consulted with his counsel José R.

Aguayo, prior to the hearing for change of plea, that he was satisfied with the services provided

by his legal representative and had time to discuss with him all aspects of the case, insofar,

among other things, regarding the change of plea, the consent to proceed before a United States

Magistrate Judge, the content of the Indictment and the charge therein, his constitutional rights

and the consequences of the waiver of same.

Defendant was specifically appraised by this Magistrate Judge that, upon withdrawing

his initial plea of not guilty and now entering a plea of guilty to the charge specified, he was

waiving his right to a public, speedy, and a trial by jury constituted by twelve jurors who have

The form entitled Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty (Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)
Report and Recommendation

Page 3

to unanimously agree to a verdict. He was also waiving his right to be presumed innocent and for the government to meet the obligation of establishing his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, he was waiving his right during said trial to confront the witnesses who were to testify against him and be able to cross-examine them, through counsel at said trial, as well as present evidence on his behalf. He was also waiving the right to compel the attendance of witnesses and that subpoenas be issued to have them appear in court to testify. Defendant was specifically appraised of his right to take the stand and testify, if he so decided, or not to testify, and no inference or decision as to his guilt could be made from the fact if he decides not to testify. Defendant was also explained his right not to incriminate himself; that upon such a waiver of all above-discussed rights a judgment of guilty and his sentence were to be based on his plea of guilty, and he would be sentenced by the judge after considering the information contained in a pre-sentence report.

As to all the above, defendant provided an individualized and positive acknowledgment of each and every waiver and, with the assistance of his counsel, Attorney Aguayo, indicated he freely and voluntarily waived those rights and understood the consequences. During all this colloquy, defendant was made aware that he could freely request from this Magistrate Judge any additional clarification, repetition, or ask questions and that he may consult with his attorney at any given time as to any issue.

Defendant expressed his understanding of the penalties prescribed by statute for the offenses as to which he was pleading guilty. The penalty for the offense charged in Count One is a term of imprisonment of not less than ten (10) years, but not more than life, a fine not to

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)

Report and Recommendation

Page 4

exceed four million dollars (\$4,000,000.00), a term of supervised release of at least five (5)

years in addition to any term of incarceration, all pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,

Section 841(b)(1)(a). In accordance with Title 21, United States Code, Section 860, the

maximum penalties would be twice that of Section 841, and at least twice the term of

supervised release authorized by Section 841.

However, based on the stipulated and agreed amount of narcotics possessed by the

defendant, that is, at least twenty (20) grams but less than thirty-five (35) grams of cocaine base,

the penalty for the offense shall be, a term of imprisonment of not less than five (5) years and

not more than forty (40) years, and a fine not to exceed \$2,000,000.00 a term of supervised

release of at least four (4) years, all pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section

841(b)(1)(B). However, in accordance with Title 21, United States Code, Section 860, the

maximum penalties would be twice that of Section 841(b)(1)(B), and at least twice the term of

supervised release authorized by Section 841(b)(1)(B).

The Court must also impose a mandatory penalty assessment of one hundred

dollars(\$100.00), per count, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund.

Having ascertained directly from defendant that he had not been induced in any way

to plead guilty, that no one had forced him in any way to plead guilty, nor that he had been

offered any reward or any other thing of value to get him to plead guilty, the document entitled

"Plea Agreement" (pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) FRCP) ("the Agreement") was shown

to defendant, verifying his signature and initials on each and every page.

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)
Report and Recommendation

Page 5

Pursuant to said Agreement, and insofar as Count One, as to which defendant already was aware of the maximum possible penalties, defendant was appraised that it was up to the sole discretion of the sentencing court what the sentence to be imposed on him will be. Defendant was specifically informed that if the sentencing court were to impose a sentence which turned out to be higher or more severe than the one he might be expecting, for said reason alone, defendant would have no grounds for the court to allow him to withdraw his plea of guilty.

The above-captioned parties' estimate and agreement that appears on pages four (4) to five (5), paragraph seven (7) of the Agreement, regarding the possible applicable advisory Sentencing Guidelines, were further elaborated and explained. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(7), the Base Offense Level is of Twenty-Six (26) inasmuch defendant accepts responsibility for at least twenty (20) gram of cocaine base but less than thirty-five (35) grams of cocaine base. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.2(a)(1), an increase of two (2) levels is agreed for protected location. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, a decrease of three (3) levels is agreed for acceptance of responsibility. Therefore, the Total Adjusted Offense Level is of Twenty-Five (25), with a sentencing range of sixty (60) months to seventy-one (71) months assuming a Criminal History Category of I; with a sentencing range of sixty-three (63) months to seventy-eight (78) months assuming a Criminal History Category of II; and with a sentencing range of seventy (70) months to eighty-seven (87) months assuming a Criminal History Category of III.

The parties agree to recommend to the Court, that the defendant be sentenced to seventyseven (77) months, assuming a Criminal History Category of II or III. Any recommendation for

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)

Report and Recommendation

Page 6

a term of imprisonment of less than seventy-seven (77) months would constitute a material breach of the plea agreement.

The United States and the defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to the defendant's base offense level shall be sought by the parties.

As part of the written Agreement, the government, the defendant, and his counsel also agreed they are aware that the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered advisory.

The government presented to this Magistrate Judge and to defendant, assisted by his counsel, a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant had elected to go to trial, the commission of the offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Counsel and defendant acknowledged the evidence of the government was fully disclosed to them and previously discussed between the two. Defendant was able to understand this explanation and agreed with the government's submission.

Defendant was explained that the Agreement with the government does not bind any other district, except the district of Puerto Rico, and it contained all the promises, terms and conditions which defendant, his attorney and the government, have entered.

Having once more ascertained that defendant has indicated not being induced to plead guilty, and was entering such a plea because in fact he is guilty, without any promises or predictions being made as to the sentence to be imposed by the court, defendant was informed that parole has been abolished under the advisory Sentencing Reform Act and that any

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)

Report and Recommendation

Page 7

sentence of imprisonment would be served, without him being released on parole. Defendant was additionally informed that prior to sentence, the sentencing judge will have a pre-sentence report and that it would be made available to him, to his counsel and to the government, so that they be allowed to correct or object to any information contained in said report which was not accurate. Depending on the facts found by the court at the time and the sentence imposed,

both defendant and the government may appeal the sentence of the court.<sup>2</sup>

Count One of the Indictment was read in open Court to defendant and he stated he understood the charges and that he was pleading guilty to Count One, and was shown a written document entitled Statement of Facts, which had been signed by defendant and his counsel and is attached to the Agreement, wherein the signature of counsel for the government also appears. Defendant was provided an opportunity to see and examine same, indicating he availed himself of the opportunity to further discuss same with his attorney and then he positively stated that what was contained in Count One was what he had done and to which he was pleading guilty during these proceedings. Thereafter, defendant expressed in no uncertain terms that he agreed with the government's evidence as to his participation in the offense. Thereupon, defendant indicated he was pleading guilty to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 07–121 (ADC).

This Magistrate Judge after having explained to the defendant his rights, ascertaining that he was acting freely and voluntarily to the waiver of such rights and in his decision of pleading

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The right to appeal is subject to certain limitations allowed by law since the Agreement also includes in paragraph seventeen (17) a waiver of appeal.

Criminal No. 07-121(ADC)
Report and Recommendation

Page 8

guilty, with full knowledge of the consequences thereof, and there being a basis in fact for such a plea, is recommending that a plea of guilty be entered as to Count One of the Indictment in Criminal No. 07-121 (ADC).

## IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

The sentencing hearing will be scheduled promptly, before Honorable Aida M. Delgado-Colón, District Court Judge.

San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April of 2008.

s/ CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE CAMILLE L. VELEZ-RIVE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE